

Isospin particle on S^2 with arbitrary number of supersymmetries

Soon-Tae Hong*

*Department of Science Education and Research Institute for Basic Sciences,
Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750 Korea*

Joohan Lee†

Department of Physics, University of Seoul, Seoul 130-743 Korea

Tae Hoon Lee‡

*Department of Physics and Institute of Natural Sciences,
Soongsil University, Seoul 156-743 Korea*

Phillial Oh§

*Department of Physics and Institute of Basic Science,
Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746 Korea*

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

We study the supersymmetric quantum mechanics of an isospin particle in the background of spherically symmetric Yang-Mills gauge field. We show that on S^2 the number of supersymmetries can be made arbitrarily large for a specific choice of the spherically symmetric $SU(2)$ gauge field. However, the symmetry algebra containing the supercharges becomes nonlinear if the number of fermions is greater than two. We present the exact energy spectra and eigenfunctions, which can be written as the product of monopole harmonics and a certain isospin state. We also find that the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken if the number of supersymmetries is even.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Pb, 11.30.Qc, 12.60.Jv, 14.80.Hv

Keywords: SUSY quantum mechanics; BPS monopole; isospin particle; monopole harmonics

The study of supersymmetric quantum mechanics of charged particle in the background of magnetic monopole [1] revealed several interesting aspects the system [2, 3, 4, 5]. Especially, the system is known to possess a hidden supersymmetry commuting with the original supersymmetry which squares to the Hamiltonian of the system. This feature is present both in the case [3] of a charged particle in the background of the Dirac monopole and the case [4] of an isospin particle in the Wu-Yang monopole [6] background. In Ref. [3], it was shown that the hidden supersymmetry can be identified with the usual supersymmetry of a charged particle if it is restricted on a sphere. This led to the manifest extended supersymmetric formulation of charged particle on S^2 in the Dirac magnetic monopole background. In Ref. [5] the complete energy spectra and the corresponding eigenfunctions were obtained and the issue of the invariance of the ground energy states under the supersymmetry transformation were discussed, and it was shown that spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry occurred for certain values of the monopole charge. Furthermore, it was shown that the system on S^2 admits $N = 4$ real supersymmetries in contrast to the $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ supersymmetries on R^3 [2]. It turns out that the supersymmetry generators in that case form $SU(2|1)$ [7] superalgebra rather than the standard supersymmetry algebra in which the supercharges square to the Hamiltonian of the system. In this context it would be interesting to add more fermionic degrees of freedom and check the consequent superalgebra.

In this paper, we study the supersymmetric quantum mechanics of an isospin particle on S^2 in the background of the Wu-Yang monopole [6], a spherically symmetric solution to the sourceless $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills equations. In particular, we address the issue of whether the number of internal supersymmetries can be enlarged. The action principle for the supersymmetric isospin particles was given in Refs. [8] and [4], which generalized bosonic Wong's equation [9]. This action has the properties that the system is invariant under the simultaneous rotations of isospace and ordinary space and under the $N = 1$ real supersymmetry transformation. We first formulate the supersymmetric isospin particle system in the $CP(1)$ model approach [5]. It is shown that by introducing $\mathcal{N}$ complex fermion degrees of freedom with a quartic fermion interaction term and considering the system on a sphere in the background of Wu-Yang monopole gauge, the number of complex supersymmetries $\mathcal{N}$ can be made arbitrary. With an appropriate choice of ordering the

*Electronic address: soonhong@ewha.ac.kr

†Electronic address: joohan@kerr.uos.ac.kr

‡Electronic address: thlee@ssu.ac.kr

§Electronic address: ploh@newton.skku.ac.kr

quantized Hamiltonian can be made invariant under the supersymmetry transformations. However, the symmetry algebra including the supercharges becomes nonlinear when the number of fermions is greater than two. We find that the algebra becomes the usual supersymmetry algebra when $\mathcal{N} = 1$. If $\mathcal{N} = 2$, the symmetry algebra can be identified with $SU(2|1)$ superalgebra. For general $\mathcal{N} > 2$ the algebra takes the nonlinear form. We then consider the energy eigenvalue problem and obtain the energy spectra and the eigenstates. It turns out that the energy eigenfunctions are given by the product of monopole harmonics [10] and certain isospin states. (See Eq. (27) below.) By looking at the supersymmetric structure of the ground state we find that the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken depending on the number of $\mathcal{N}$: it is unbroken when $\mathcal{N}$ is odd whereas half of the supersymmetries are broken for even $\mathcal{N}$.

In order to proceed, let us consider an isospin particle in R^3 in the background of the Wu-Yang monopole gauge field [6],

$$A_i^a = -\epsilon^a_{ij} \frac{x^j}{gr^2}, \quad A_0^a = 0,$$

the field strength of which is given by

$$F_{ij}^a = \partial_i A_j^a - \partial_j A_i^a - g\epsilon^{abc} A_i^b A_j^c = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{x^a x_k}{gr^4}.$$

The $N = 1$ supersymmetric Lagrangian [8], [4] can be written as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^2 + \frac{i}{2} \psi_i \dot{\psi}_i + i\bar{\theta} \dot{\theta} - g\dot{x}_i A_i^a I^a - gS_i B_i^a I^a, \quad (1)$$

where $I^a = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \sigma^a \theta$, and $S_i := -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \psi_j \psi_k$ denotes the spin. Its Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_i^2 + gS_i B_i^a I^a = \frac{1}{2} (p_i - igA_i^a I^a)^2 + gS_i B_i^a I^a,$$

and the total angular momentum becomes

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= \epsilon_{ijk} x_j (\dot{x}_k - gA_k^a I^a) + I_i + S_i \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \dot{x}_k + (\hat{x}_k I_k) \hat{x}_i + S_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where in the second line the explicit form of the gauge field was used. This system is known [3, 4] to possess a hidden supersymmetry commuting with the original supersymmetry. In Ref. [3], it is also shown that when the particle is restricted to the sphere of constant radius they together form $N = 2$ supersymmetries. Therefore, in terms of the local coordinates of S^2 one can write down a manifestly $N = 2$ supersymmetric Lagrangian. In this paper, however, we will use $CP(1)$ model type of approach expressing the Lagrangian in terms of the S^3 variable $z = (z_1, z_2)$ satisfying $\bar{z} \cdot z = 1$ and the complex spinor $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ satisfying $\bar{z} \cdot \psi = 0$ and its conjugation relation, where we have used the notation $A \cdot B = A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2$. The dynamics on S^2 is recovered by imposing the local phase symmetry. The Lagrangian can be written as

$$L = 2|D_t z|^2 + i\bar{\psi} \cdot D_t \psi + i\bar{\theta} \dot{\theta} - i(\bar{z} \sigma_i D_t z - D_t \bar{z} \sigma_i z) I_i, \quad (3)$$

where D_t defined by $D_t z \equiv \dot{z} - iaz$ with a given by

$$a = -\frac{i}{2} (\bar{z} \cdot \dot{z} - \dot{\bar{z}} \cdot z) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \cdot \psi$$

is a covariant derivative with respect to the $U(1)$ phase transformation. Consequently, the Lagrangian describes a particle on unit S^2 although it is written in S^3 variables. One can show that, using the unit radial vector $x_i = \bar{z} \sigma_i z$ defining S^2 , the last term of Eq. (3) can be written as

$$\epsilon_{kij} \dot{x}_i x_j I_k + \bar{\psi} \cdot \psi x_i I_i,$$

which is the same as the interaction term of Eq. (1) evaluated on the unit S^2 in the background of the Wu-Yang monopole, if $-(\bar{\psi} \cdot \psi)$ is identified as the classical analogue of the radial component¹ of the spin S_i . With the identification

$$\psi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{z} \sigma_i \psi + \bar{\psi} \sigma_i z), \quad (4)$$

¹ For its quantum mechanical definition, see Eq. (15). In fact, when restricted to S^2 , only the radial component of the spin survives.

one can further show that the first two terms of the Eq. (3) become the kinetic part of the isospin particle on S^2 . In this formalism, supercharges can be written as

$$Q = 2\dot{\bar{z}} \cdot \psi, \quad \bar{Q} = 2\bar{\psi} \cdot \dot{z}.$$

From these, two real combinations can be obtained, one of which is the ordinary supercharge restricted to the sphere and the other one is the so-called hidden supercharge.

We now consider a many fermion generalization of this model. The Lagrangian is given by

$$L = L_1 + L_2 \tag{5}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= 2|D_t z|^2 + \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot D_t \psi_\alpha - D_t \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha)^2, \\ L_2 &= \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\theta}\dot{\theta} - \dot{\bar{\theta}}\theta) - i(\bar{z}\sigma_i D_t z - D_t \bar{z}\sigma_i z)I_i, \end{aligned}$$

where the fermionic variable $\psi_\alpha = (\psi_{\alpha 1}, \psi_{\alpha 2})$ has additional flavor index $\alpha = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}$ and satisfies

$$\bar{z} \cdot \psi_\alpha = \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot z = 0, \tag{6}$$

Covariant derivative D_t is defined, as before, by $D_t z \equiv \dot{z} - ia z$, but a given by

$$a = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{z} \cdot \dot{z} - \dot{\bar{z}} \cdot z) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha. \tag{7}$$

Besides the trivial summation over the flavor indices this Lagrangian differs from the previous one by quartic fermionic interaction term.

A straightforward calculation shows that L_1 and L_2 are separately invariant and the constraints are preserved under the following supersymmetric transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\alpha z &= \psi_\alpha, & \delta_\alpha \bar{z} &= 0, & \delta_\alpha \psi_\beta &= 0, \\ \delta_\alpha \bar{\psi}_\beta &= 2i\nabla_{\alpha\beta}\bar{z}, & \delta_\alpha \theta &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}\sigma_a\psi_\alpha)\sigma_a\theta, & \delta_\alpha \bar{\theta} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{z}\sigma_a\psi_\alpha)\bar{\theta}\sigma_a, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta}_\alpha z &= 0, & \bar{\delta}_\alpha \bar{z} &= \bar{\psi}_\alpha, & \bar{\delta}_\alpha \psi_\beta &= 2i\nabla_{\alpha\beta}z, \\ \bar{\delta}_\alpha \bar{\psi}_\beta &= 0, & \bar{\delta}_\alpha \theta &= -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha\sigma_a z)\sigma_a\theta, & \bar{\delta}_\alpha \bar{\theta} &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha\sigma_a z)\bar{\theta}\sigma_a, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nabla_{\alpha\beta}\bar{z} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}D_t\bar{z} - \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\beta \cdot \psi_\alpha - \delta_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}_\gamma \cdot \psi_\gamma)\bar{z}.$$

One can show that a defined in Eq. (7) is invariant, so that the transformations commute with D_t . Furthermore, it turns out that the constraints are also preserved.

The momentum conjugate to z is given by

$$p = 2D_t\bar{z} + \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha)\bar{z} - i\bar{z}\sigma_i(1 - z\bar{z})I_i.$$

For the consistency of the time evolution with the constraint Eq. (6), the momentum should satisfy

$$p \cdot z + \bar{z} \cdot \bar{p} = 0.$$

Furthermore, the local $U(1)$ phase symmetry gives rise to the Gauss law constraint

$$-i(\bar{z} \cdot \bar{p} - p \cdot z) - \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha = 0.$$

The Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= 2|D_t \bar{z}|^2 - \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha (\bar{z} \sigma_i z) I_i \\
&= 2|\dot{z} - z(\bar{z} \cdot \dot{\bar{z}})|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha)^2 - \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha (\bar{z} \sigma_i z) I_i \\
&= \frac{1}{2}|(\bar{p} - z(\bar{z} \cdot \bar{p}) - i(1 - z\bar{z})\sigma_i z I_i)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha)^2 - \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha (\bar{z} \sigma_i z) I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

To quantize this system one needs to compute the Dirac brackets. The result can be summarized as follows in the quantum commutator version²:

$$\begin{aligned}
[p_m, z_n] &= -i\delta_{mn} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{z}_m z_n, & [p_m, \bar{z}_n] &= \frac{i}{2}\bar{z}_m \bar{z}_n, \\
[p_m, p_n] &= \frac{i}{2}(p_m \bar{z}_n - p_n \bar{z}_m), & [p_m, \bar{p}_n] &= \frac{i}{2}(p_m z_n - \bar{p}_n \bar{z}_m) - \bar{\psi}_{\alpha m} \psi_{\alpha n} - \frac{3}{2}(\delta_{nm} - z_n \bar{z}_m), \\
[\bar{\psi}_{\alpha m}, \psi_{\beta n}] &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}(\delta_{nm} - z_n \bar{z}_m), & [p_m, \bar{\psi}_{\alpha n}] &= i\bar{\psi}_{\alpha m} \bar{z}_n, \\
[I_i, I_j] &= i\epsilon_{ijk} I_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where indices $m, n = (1, 2)$ are explicitly written and the square bracket between two fermionic operators should be interpreted as the anticommutator.

In terms of the variables

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_\alpha &= \epsilon_{mn} z_n \psi_{\alpha m} \equiv \epsilon z \psi_\alpha, & \bar{\beta}_\alpha &= \bar{\psi}_{\alpha m} \epsilon_{mn} \bar{z}_n \equiv \bar{\psi}_\alpha \epsilon \bar{z}, \\
B_m &= p_n A_{nm}, & \bar{B}_m &= A_{mn} \bar{p}_n, \\
\tilde{U}_B &= -i(\bar{z} \cdot \bar{p} - p \cdot z) + \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

the above commutation relations can be simplified [5]:

$$\begin{aligned}
[B_m, z_n] &= -iA_{nm}, & [B_m, \bar{z}_n] &= 0, \\
[B_m, B_n] &= -i(\bar{z}_m B_n - \bar{z}_n B_m), & [\bar{B}_m, B_n] &= (\tilde{U}_B + 1)A_{mn}, \\
[\tilde{U}_B, z_m] &= z_m, & [\tilde{U}_B, B_m] &= -B_m, \\
[\bar{\beta}_\alpha, \beta_\beta] &= \delta_{\alpha\beta}, & [I^a, I^b] &= i\epsilon_{abc} I^c,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have defined $A_{mn} \equiv \delta_{mn} - z_m \bar{z}_n$ for notational convenience. These should be supplemented by the adjoint relations and all other omitted commutators vanish. Besides these commutation relations the following should be satisfied:

$$B \cdot z = 0, \quad \bar{z} \cdot \bar{B} = 0,$$

as operator identities. The Gauss law constraints can be written as

$$C_G \equiv \tilde{U}_B - 2\bar{\beta}_\alpha \beta_\alpha + \alpha_G = 0, \tag{10}$$

which has to be imposed on the physical states. The constant α_G is the ordering parameter that will be fixed. [See Eq. (13).]

Conversely, original variables can be recovered as follows:

$$p = B - \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{U}_B - \bar{\beta}_\alpha \beta_\alpha) \bar{z}, \quad \psi_\alpha = \epsilon \bar{z} \beta_\alpha.$$

Comparing this expression with the definition of the momentum yields the following interpretation

$$\begin{aligned}
2\nabla_t \bar{z} &\equiv 2\dot{\bar{z}}(1 - z\bar{z}) = B + i\bar{z}\sigma_i(1 - z\bar{z})I_i, \\
2\nabla_t z &\equiv 2(1 - z\bar{z})\dot{z} = \bar{B} - i(1 - z\bar{z})\sigma_i z I_i.
\end{aligned}$$

² In transition from Dirac brackets to quantum commutator there may arise an ordering ambiguity as noted in Ref. [5]. However, it can be absorbed if the Gauss Law constraint is appropriately ordered. So, here we choose a particular ordering.

In quantizing the Hamiltonian there arises ordering ambiguity. One can fix one particular ordering and determine the Hamiltonian by adding the terms arising from changing the operator ordering using other requirement. In our case we will impose the invariance under the supersymmetric transformations. Therefore, we will tentatively choose the following form of the Hamiltonian:

$$H = 2\nabla_t \bar{z} \cdot \nabla_t z + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha)^2 - \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha I_r + C\bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \psi_\alpha + DI_r, \quad (11)$$

where $I_r \equiv (\bar{z}\sigma_i z)I_i$ denotes the radial component of the isospin and the constants C, D are ordering parameters to be fixed later.

The quantum angular momentum operator satisfying the correct commutation relations turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned} J_i &= -\frac{i}{2}(p\sigma_i z - \bar{z}\sigma_i \bar{p}) - \bar{z}\sigma_i z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_\alpha \sigma_i \psi_\alpha + I_i \\ &= -\frac{i}{2}(B\sigma_i z - \bar{z}\sigma_i \bar{B} - 2i\bar{z}\sigma_i z) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{U}_B \bar{z}\sigma_i z + I_i \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \bar{z}\sigma_j z (\nabla_t \bar{z}\sigma_k z + \bar{z}\sigma_k \nabla_t z) - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{U}_B \bar{z}\sigma_i z + (\bar{z}\sigma_k z I_k) \bar{z}\sigma_i z. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The first expression is the same as the Noether charge except the ordering term which is necessary to produce the correct angular momentum commutation relations, and the third expression shows the decomposition of the angular momentum into the orbital part and the the rest. Comparing the final expression with Eq. (2) suggests identifying the second term as the spin. Indeed, using Eq. (4) one can show that, when restricted to S^2 , only the radial component of the spin survives due to the constraint (6). Furthermore, with the choice of ordering constant

$$\alpha_G = \mathcal{N} \quad (13)$$

the Gauss law constraint, Eq. (10) can be written as

$$C_G = \tilde{U}_B + 2\Sigma, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\Sigma \equiv -\frac{1}{2}[\bar{\beta}_\alpha, \beta_\alpha] \quad (15)$$

can be identified as the radial component of the total spin.³ This expression can be obtained by directly imposing the constraints on the total spin S_i and the eigenvalues range from $-\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}$ to $\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}$.

Quantum mechanical supercharges Q_α and $\bar{Q}_\alpha$ can be readily obtained from the classical Noether charge because they have no ordering ambiguity,

$$Q_\alpha = p \cdot \psi_\alpha + i\bar{z}\sigma_i \psi_\alpha I_i, \quad \bar{Q}_\alpha = \bar{\psi}_\alpha \cdot \bar{p} - i\bar{\psi}_\alpha \sigma_i z I_i.$$

One can further show that they can be rewritten in the following suggestive form:

$$Q_\alpha = J_+ \beta_\alpha, \quad \bar{Q}_\alpha = J_- \bar{\beta}_\alpha, \quad (16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_+ &\equiv 2\nabla_t \bar{z} \epsilon \bar{z} = i\bar{z}\sigma_i \epsilon \bar{z} J_i \\ J_- &\equiv 2\epsilon z \nabla_t z = -i\epsilon z \sigma_i z J_i. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Together with the radial component of the angular momentum,

$$J_r = -\frac{1}{2}\tilde{U}_B + I_r = -\frac{1}{2}C_G + (\Sigma + I_r), \quad (18)$$

$J_\pm$ satisfy the usual $SU(2)$ algebra relations:

$$[J_+, J_-] = 2J_r, \quad [J_r, J_+] = J_+, \quad [J_r, J_-] = -J_-. \quad (19)$$

³ In this paper we define Σ to be the outward radial component of the spin operator, so it differs from that of Ref. [5] by the sign.

For quantum Hamiltonian, first observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}J^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+) + \frac{1}{2}J_r^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2}J_+J_- + \frac{1}{2}J_r^2 - \frac{1}{2}J_r \\
&= 2\nabla_t\bar{z} \cdot \nabla_t z + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^2 + \Sigma I_r + \frac{1}{2}I_r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Sigma - \frac{1}{2}I_r.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Without the forth term this expression would take the same form as the classical Hamiltonian Eq. (11). Therefore, from now on we will choose

$$\begin{aligned}
H &\equiv \frac{1}{2}J^2 - \frac{1}{2}I_r^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+) + I_r\Sigma + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma^2
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

as our Hamiltonian. It is supersymmetric and rotationally invariant, and corresponds to quantizing the classical Hamiltonian with a specific choice of the ordering parameters C, D in Eq. (11). The second term represents the spin-isospin coupling and the third term may be interpreted as the spin-spin coupling which becomes trivial when $\mathcal{N} = 1$, but not in general.

From Eqs. (15),(16) and (19) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta] &= J_+J_- \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2J_r\bar{\beta}_\beta\beta_\alpha \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(J_+J_- + J_-J_+)\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2J_r(\bar{\beta}_\beta\beta_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}) \\
&= \left(2H - 2\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{\mathcal{N}}\right)I_r\Sigma - \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{\mathcal{N}}\right)\Sigma^2\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2(I_r + \Sigma)S_{\beta\alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $S_{\beta\alpha} \equiv \bar{\beta}_\beta\beta_\alpha - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}(\bar{\beta}_\gamma\beta_\gamma)\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes $SU(\mathcal{N})$ generator. Note that the trace part is not the Hamiltonian. In fact, the trace part alone does not commute with the supersymmetry generators. One can show that

$$H = \frac{1}{2\mathcal{N}}[Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\alpha] + \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}-1}{\mathcal{N}}\right)I_r\Sigma + \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\right)\Sigma^2.$$

The conserved quantities associated with the symmetries of the system are (i) the total angular momentum $\vec{J} = \vec{K} + \vec{I}$ where $\vec{K}$ is the angular momentum associated with spatial rotations of z 's and ψ_α 's [5] and $\vec{I}$ is the isospin associated with isospace rotations of θ 's, (ii) the spin $\Sigma = -\bar{\beta}_\alpha \cdot \beta_\alpha + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}$ (or the fermion number $N_F = \bar{\beta}_\alpha \cdot \beta_\alpha$) associated with the global $U(1)$ phase symmetry of the fermion, (iii) the internal $SU(\mathcal{N})$ charge, $S^A \equiv S_{\alpha\beta}T_{\alpha\beta}^A = \bar{\beta}_\alpha T_{\alpha\beta}^A \beta_\beta$, associated with the fermion flavor, where T^A are the traceless Hermitian $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N}$ matrices satisfying $[T^A, T^B] = if^{ABC}T^C$ and normalized by $\text{tr}(T^A T^B) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{AB}$, and (iv) the supersymmetric generators $Q_\alpha = J_+\beta_\alpha$, $\bar{Q}_\alpha = J_-\bar{\beta}_\alpha$.

Although it is not the usual supersymmetry algebra, there are several interesting aspects. If the number of fermion species is one, i.e., $\mathcal{N} = 1$, Eq. (22) simply becomes $[Q, \bar{Q}] = 2(H + \frac{1}{8})$ which is the ordinary $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY algebra. If $\mathcal{N} = 2$, it can be shown that $\Sigma S_{\beta\alpha} = 0$ identically and the algebra reduces to

$$[Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta] = 2H\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2I_r\left(S_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right). \tag{23}$$

This algebra can be cast in the form which is identical to the $SU(2|1)$ algebra. For general $\mathcal{N}$ the algebra is nonlinear.

The commutator algebra given in Eq. (9) can be concretely represented on the Hilbert space composed of certain functions on S^3 as follows:

$$B_m = -iA_{nm}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} + i\bar{z}_m, \quad \bar{B}_m = -iA_{mn}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_n}, \quad \tilde{U}_B = z_m\frac{\partial}{\partial z_m} - \bar{z}_m\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_m}.$$

Operators z and $\bar{z}$ act as multiplication, and the fermion operators and the isospin can be represented as usual in terms of matrices. From these, representations for other physical operators can be obtained. In particular, we find

$$J_i = \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{z}\sigma_i\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\sigma_i z\right) + I_i. \tag{24}$$

Let us study energy eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian (21). Since J_i is the sum of two commuting angular momentum operators K_i and I_i and the isospin is easy to handle, first consider the representation theory of K_i . Besides K^2 , K_3 there is another operator commuting with them, which is the radial component K_r of K_i . Let $|k, k_3, k_r\rangle$ be their simultaneous eigenstates. It can be shown that k_r behaves exactly as k_3 relative to k , i.e., they are integer spaced and for a given k , $-k \leq k_r \leq k$. In fact, as k_3 is raised and lowered by $K_1 \pm iK_2$, k_r is raised and lowered by $K_\pm$ defined as in Eq. (17). Thus, one can construct all $|k, k_3, k_r\rangle$ starting from

$$|k, k_3 = k, k_r = k\rangle \sim \bar{z}_1^{2k} \quad (25)$$

via a successive application of the lowering operators $K_1 - iK_2$ and K_- . For instance, one finds

$$|k, k_3 = k, k_r\rangle \sim z_2^{k-k_r} \bar{z}_1^{k+k_r}. \quad (26)$$

They are in fact monopole harmonics.⁴

Since the Hamiltonian is made of J^2 and I_r , we need a complete set of commuting operators containing them. We can choose $\vec{J}^2$, J_3 , K_r , I^2 , I_r and Σ . Since the Gauss law constraint (18) can be written in terms of these operators as $K_r = \Sigma$, the problem reduces to constructing the simultaneous eigenstates of $\vec{J}^2$, J_3 , I^2 , I_r , Σ from the simultaneous eigenstates of K^2 , K_3 , I^2 , I_3 , Σ . This can be done in general but for the sake of simplicity we will consider $\frac{1}{2}$ -isospin case. Since $I_r^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ in this case, the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian (21) is determined by j . Other quantum numbers represent degeneracies. Let $I_3 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_3$. Among the eigenstates of I_r we choose the ones having $j = 0$. They are given by

$$|j = 0, i_r = +1/2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |j = 0, i_r = -1/2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

Now, it can be shown that the simultaneous eigenfunctions of $\vec{J}^2$, J_3 , I^2 , I_r and Σ can be obtained by taking the product of three states

$$|j, j_3, i_r, \sigma\rangle = |j = 0, i_r\rangle |j, j_3, \sigma + i_r\rangle |\sigma\rangle, \quad (28)$$

where $|j, j_3, \sigma + i_r\rangle$ is the monopole harmonics previously defined. We have omitted I^2 because it is constant.

We illustrate some cases. First, let us consider $\mathcal{N} = 1$ case. In this case, $\sigma = \pm 1/2$. The ground states are characterized by $j = 0$, for which $j_3 = 0$ and $j_r = 0$. The latter condition implies that $\sigma + i_r = 0$. Consequently, the ground states consist of the following two states with $E = -1/8$:

$$\begin{aligned} |0, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle |0, 0, 0\rangle |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle, \\ |0, 0, +\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle |0, 0, 0\rangle |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $i_r = \sigma = \pm 1/2$ states are not allowed. One can check that the both states are annihilated by Q and $\bar{Q}$. The first excited states correspond to the value of $j = 1$. In this case, $j_3 = (1, 0, -1)$, $i_r = \pm 1/2$, $\sigma = \pm 1/2$. Therefore, there are twelve states with $E = 7/8$:

$$\begin{aligned} |1, (1, 0, -1), +\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle |1, (1, 0, -1), 1\rangle |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle = \bar{z}\bar{z} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle, \\ |1, (1, 0, -1), -\frac{1}{2}, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle |1, (1, 0, -1), 0\rangle |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle = z\bar{z} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} |+\frac{1}{2}\rangle, \\ |1, (1, 0, -1), +\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle |1, (1, 0, -1), 0\rangle |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle = z\bar{z} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle, \\ |1, (1, 0, -1), -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle &= |0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle |1, (1, 0, -1), -1\rangle |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle = zz \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} |-\frac{1}{2}\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where the omitted indices on zz , $z\bar{z}$ and $\bar{z}\bar{z}$ are determined depending on the values of j_3 and $\sigma + i_r$. For instance from Eq. (26), one can infer that in the first line of Eq. (29), each $j_3 = (1, 0, -1)$ corresponds to $\bar{z}\bar{z} = (\bar{z}_1^2, \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_2^2)$,

⁴ The monopole harmonics $Y_{q,l,m}$ of Ref. [10] corresponds to our $|k, k_3, k_r\rangle$ with $k = l$, $k_3 = m$, $k_r = -q$.

in the second line $z\bar{z} = (z_2\bar{z}_1, |z_2|^2 - |z_1|^2, z_1\bar{z}_2)$ and in the fourth line $zz = (z_2^2, z_1z_2, z_1^2)$. In general, the energy eigenfunctions with j, j_3, i_r, σ are given by the states

$$|0, i_r\rangle |j, j_3, \sigma + i_r\rangle |\sigma\rangle,$$

with an arbitrary integer j, j_3 ($-j \leq j_3 \leq j$), and i_r, σ satisfying $-j \leq \sigma + i_r \leq j$.

Next, let us consider $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case. We have $\sigma = +1, 0, 0, -1$, and the corresponding four spin states will be denoted by $|+1\rangle, |0_\pm\rangle, |-1\rangle$. Since $i_r = \pm 1/2$ and $j_r = \sigma + i_r = (3/2, 1/2, -1/2, -3/2)$, j can be half integral. Also, j_r should satisfy $-j \leq j_r \leq j$. The ground states correspond to $j = 1/2$ states and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} |0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle | \frac{1}{2}, (+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), +\frac{1}{2} \rangle | +1 \rangle &= \bar{z} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} | +1 \rangle, \\ |0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle | \frac{1}{2}, (+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), +\frac{1}{2} \rangle | 0_\pm \rangle &= \bar{z} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} | 0_\pm \rangle \\ |0, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle | \frac{1}{2}, (+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), -\frac{1}{2} \rangle | 0_\pm \rangle &= z \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{z}_2 \\ \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix} | 0_\pm \rangle \\ |0, +\frac{1}{2}\rangle | \frac{1}{2}, (+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}), -\frac{1}{2} \rangle | -1 \rangle &= z \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix} | -1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, there are twelve independent ground states with energy $E = 1/4$. Again omitted indices depend on the values of j_3 . In the first and second line $j_3 = (+1/2, -1/2)$ corresponds to $(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2)$, and in the last two lines $j_3 = (+1/2, -1/2)$ correspond to (z_2, z_1) . It can be shown that the above ground states are invariant under the half of supersymmetry, and supersymmetry is, in some sense, spontaneously broken from $\mathcal{N} = 2$ to $\mathcal{N} = 1$.

The analysis can be extended to the general $\mathcal{N}$. Spin states can be obtained using the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots 1 \otimes \sigma_-, \\ \beta_2 &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \sigma_- \otimes \sigma_3, \\ &\dots \\ \beta_{\mathcal{N}} &= \sigma_- \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_3, \end{aligned}$$

and the eigenvalues of the spin operator is given by $\sigma = (\mathcal{N}/2, \mathcal{N}/2 - 1, \dots - \mathcal{N}/2 + 1, -\mathcal{N}/2)$. For $\mathcal{N} = \text{odd integer}$, the ground states are given by $|0, \mp 1/2\rangle |0, 0, 0\rangle | \pm 1/2 \rangle$, and the supersymmetry is unbroken. For $\mathcal{N} = \text{even integer}$, the ground states are given by $|0, \mp 1/2\rangle |1/2, (+1/2, -1/2), \pm 1/2\rangle | \pm 1 \rangle$ and $|0, \pm 1/2\rangle |1/2, (+1/2, -1/2), \pm 1/2\rangle | 0 \rangle$, and half of the supersymmetries is spontaneously broken.

In summary, we showed that the number of supersymmetries can be made arbitrarily large for supersymmetric isospin particles on sphere in the background of specifically chosen spherically symmetric $SU(2)$ gauge field. The supersymmetry generators form the standard $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY algebra for a single complex fermion, $su(2|1)$ algebra for $\mathcal{N} = 2$. But for higher $\mathcal{N}$, it become the nonlinear realization of the $su(\mathcal{N}|1)$ algebra. We also gave exact energy spectra and corresponding eigenfunctions in the case of $I = 1/2$ and found that half of the supersymmetry is spontaneously broken if the complex number of fermion degrees of freedom is even. It would be interesting to investigate details of the nonlinear algebra Eq. (22) and extend the analysis further to general values of isospin I other than $1/2$.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Bum-Hoon Lee and Jin-Ho Cho for useful discussions. The work of STH was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2006-331-C00071). THL was supported by the Soongsil University Research Fund. PO was supported by the Science Research Center Program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through the Center for Quantum Spacetime(CQUeST) of Sogang University with grant number R11-2005-021.

[1] S. R. Coleman, *The Magnetic Monopole Fifty Years Later* in Les Houches Sum. School (1981) 461; P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **41** (1978) 1357; R. Jackiw, *Ann. Phys.* **129** (1980) 183.

- [2] E. D'Hoker and L. Vinet, *Supersymmetry of the Pauli equation in the presence of a magnetic monopole*, Phys. Lett. B 137 (1984) 72; D. Spector, *$N = 0$ supersymmetry and the nonrelativistic monopole*, Phys. Lett. B 474 (2000) 331, hep-th/0001008; M. S. Plyushchay, *On the nature of fermion monopole supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. B 485 (2000) 187, hep-th/0005122; C. Leiva and M. S. Plyushchay, *Phys. Lett. B* **582** (2004) 135, hep-th/0311150; S. Kim and C. Lee, *Supersymmetry-based approach to quantum particle dynamics on a curved surface with non-zero magnetic field*, Ann. Phys. 296 (2002) 390, hep-th/0112120.
- [3] F. De Jonghe, A. J. Macfarlane, K. Peeters and J. W. van Holten, *New supersymmetry of the monopole*, Phys. Lett. B 359 (1995) 114, hep-th/9507046.
- [4] N. Linden, A. J. Macfarlane and J. W. van Holten, *Particle Motion in a Yang-Mills Field: Wong's Equations and Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Analogues*, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) 125.
- [5] S. T. Hong, J. Lee, T. H. Lee and P. Oh, *A Complete solution of a constrained system: SUSY monopole quantum mechanics*, JHEP 0602 (2006) 036, hep-th/0511275; *Supersymmetric monopole quantum mechanics on a sphere*, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 015002, hep-th/0505018; *$N = 4$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics with magnetic monopole*, Phys. Lett. B 628 (2005) 165, hep-th/0507194.
- [6] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, in *Properties of matter under unusual conditions*, edited by H. Mark and S. Fernbach (Interscience, New York, 1969), pp. 349-354; T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, *Some remarks about unquantized non-Abelian gauge fields*, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 3843.
- [7] A. Pais and V. Rittenberg, J. Math. Phys. **16** (1975) 2062; P. G. O. Freund and I. Kaplansky, J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976) 228; M. Scheunert, W. Nahm and V. Rittenberg, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977) 155; M. Marcu, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980) 1277.
- [8] A. P. Balachandran, P. Salomonson, B.-S. Skagerstam and J.-O. Winnberg, *Classical description of a particle interacting with a non-Abelian gauge field*, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2308.
- [9] S. K. Wong, *Field and particle equations for the classical Yang-Mills field and particles with isotopic spin*, Nuovo Cim. A 65 (1972) 689.
- [10] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, *Dirac monopole without strings: monopole harmonics*, Nucl. Phys. B 107 (1976) 365.